

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

THE DECADENCE OF THE NATIVE AMERICAN STOCK. A STATISTICAL STUDY OF GENEALOGICAL RECORDS.

By Frederick S. Crum.

In 1905, Mr. Frank N. Hagar wrote a book entitled "The American Family," and in his search for the bond of family unity—the tie which binds human couples together when all or most other bonds are withdrawn—he found it in the child.

Couples alone with this tie need all the possible stimulating of otherwise conjugal love, the obligations, the imprecations of conscience and of society—perhaps the fear of the law, to hold them firm . . . where children are feared, eschewed, or avoided, the deadly seeds of family discord are ever ready to spring up, take root and cause the decay of family unity. When among any people a general practice of child prevention becomes prevalent, that deadly ax has cut the very taproot of the family, of its unity, its life, its persistence, and with it the life and persistence of that people and its institutions.

Again, in an earlier chapter entitled "Decadence of Northern Yankees," Mr. Hagar stated as follows:

There seems to be one central cause that strikes at the family that is nurtured here, and which the foreigner reared abroad has escaped:—it is a theory that ignores reproduction, that violates the principles of love and domestic association, and that began more obviously in fearing childhood and avoiding parenthood.

As early as 1897 I became interested in the problem with which this nation is confronted in the appalling decrease in the birth-rate of its native stock—the descendants of the founders and builders of this great Republic. An investigation made at that time into the birth-rate of Massachusetts during the period 1850 to 1890 revealed only too clearly the decline in the birth-rate of that commonwealth, and the phenomenon was particularly noticeable in the native element of the population. That investigation only confirmed the results of previous inquiries and notably that instituted in the Massachusetts State Census of 1885 whereby it was shown that 20.2 per cent. of the native married women were without

children as against 13.3 per cent. of the married women of foreign birth. Again, that census of 1885 showed the average number of children living per each native married woman to have been 1.92, against 3.01 for the married women of foreign birth.

Other careful investigators of the subject, including R. R. Kuczynski* in 1901 and 1902, Allyn A. Young† in 1905, and Frederick L. Hoffman‡ in 1909, all interpret the best available data in the same way and all arrive at the same general conclusion, namely, that the native element is failing to contribute anything like its proper quota to the new population of this country.

Not satisfied with the limited data of determining value available in the census and registration reports, I have made a statistical analysis of twenty-two genealogical records of pioneer families which originally settled in New England or the middle Atlantic states. The results of this inquiry confirm all the direst forebodings of those who have preached most strenuously against race suicide. The results briefly set forth in the following table may be considered absolutely reliable and representative of the true state of affairs in the native element of the population north of the Mason and Dixon line.

TABLE I.

AVERAGE NUMBER OF CHILDREN PER WIFE.

(Statistics Based upon Twenty-two Genealogical Records* of American Families.)

| Marriage Periods. | Number of Wives. | Number of Children. | Average Number of Children per Wife. | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Previous to 1700. 1700–1749. 1750–1799. 1800–1849. 1850–1869. 1870–1879. | 276 802 1,966 5,530 3,062 1,086 | 2,034 5,478 12,649 27,320 10,630 3,004 | 7.37 6.83 6.43 4.94 3.47 2.77 | | |
| Totals | 12,722 | 61,115 | 4.80 | | |

^{*} Only carefully edited genealogical records were used.

This table reveals most clearly the decadence of the original stock which with almost boundless energy "cleared the forests,

^{*} The Fecundity of the Native and Foreign-Born Population in Massachusetts. The Quar. Jour. of Economics., Nov., 1901 and Feb., 1902.

[†] The Birth-Rate in New Hampshire. Quarterly Publications of the Am. Stat. Assn., Sept., 1905.

[‡] The Decline in the Birth-Rate. The North American Review, May, 1909. Maternity Statistics of the State of Rhode Island, State Census of 1905. Proceedings of the First International Eugenics Congress, London, 1912.

gleaned the uneven fields from the glacial stone drift, built in quick time their homely cabins, and moving from tract to tract like a collective array of nature's life, even as the animals and plants, spread with their increasing sons and daughters." Continuing, Mr. Hagar truly says: "They would have laughed to scorn at the modern task of doing so simple a thing as to raise a child. Their women in addition to the work of their large families, and of ordinary housekeeping without modern improvements, performed nearly all the labor that is now done in factories and shops in fitting raw material for use as clothing and food." An average per wife of 7.37 children in the seventeenth century, was 4.60 more than for wives of their descendants married during the ten years, 1870 to 1879! Later data are difficult to secure in sufficient number to be reliable, for at least thirty years must be allowed from the date of the marriage to the closing of the record of the children resulting therefrom

It goes without saying that a reduction in the average number of children per wife from 7.37 to 2.77 has not been fully offset by reduced infant mortality. There is fragmentary evidence, too, in census returns and other sources, that the present-day average number of children in *completed* American families is considerably less than 2.77 per wife. In fact, it is very doubtful if the present-day average is as high as two children per native American wife. Comment upon this condition of affairs is unnecessary at this time except that it may be stated that the facts available in the most reliable sources seem to indicate that the native American stock has reached the point where it is failing to maintain itself, that is, its births are quite certainly fewer than its deaths at the present time.

If the seventeenth century average had prevailed in the 1,086 families reported for 1870–1879, they would have produced 4,996 more children than were actually recorded. In the eighteenth century, the size of the family was fully sufficient to populate a new country in a normal way. From the beginning of the nineteenth century, however, the decline in the birth-rate of the native stock has been so rapid as to preclude any conclusion other than that it has been deliberate

and largely the result of selfish motives. Such evidence as is available from the most recently compiled genealogies and from such external evidence as must be obvious to all careful observers of present-day conditions, it is quite clear that when sufficient data are available for marriages subsequent to 1880, the average number of children per native wife will be found to have fallen to at most two, and probably less.

Genealogical records, however, do not tell the whole story. There are fewer marriages in proportion to the persons of marriageable age than in the early days and there are more childless wives, some of whom are almost necessarily omitted from statistics based upon genealogical records.

Every careful observer of present-day tendencies has noted and many have commented upon the fact that childless marriages, particularly among Americans of native stock, appear to be, proportionately to the total married women of that stock, rapidly increasing. The following brief summary of the 12,722 wives included in this genealogical investigation is of exceptional interest:

TABLE II.

NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF CHILDLESS WIVES.

Statistics of 12.722 Wives included in Twenty-two Genealogical Records.

| Period. | Total Number | Childless Wives. | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| renod. | of Wives. | Number. | Per cent. of Total | | | |
| Previous to 1700. 1700-1749. 1750-1799. 1800-1849. 1850-1869. 1870-1879. | 276 802 1,966 5,530 3,062 1,086 | 5 14 37 225 181 88 | 1.81 1.74 1.88 4.07 5.91 8.10 | | | |

In the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries less than 2 per cent. of the wives were childless; in the first half of the nine-teenth century the proportion jumped to over 4 per cent., and this latter figure had doubled by 1870 to 1879. In census and other sources there is evidence that at present there is a far larger proportion of childless wives among the native American women than is indicated by the statistics here presented for the decade 1870 to 1879.

Closely related to the subject of childless wives is that of the number of children borne by the mothers. The following summary table brings out some significant facts:

TABLE III.

COMPARATIVE FECUNDITY OF NATIVE AMERICAN MOTHERS.

Statistics of 12.172 Mothers included in Twenty-two Genealogical Records.

| Period. | Mothers with only One Child. | | Mothers with only Two Children. | | Three | ers with to Five dren. | Six to | ers with Nine dren. | Mothers with Ten or More Children. | | |
|--|------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|---|---|--|---|---|--|---|--|
| reriod. | Num- ber. | Per Cent. of Total Wives. | Num- ber. | Per Cent. of Total Wives. | Num- ber. | Per Cent. of Total Wives. | Num- ber. | Per Cent. of Total Wives. | Num- ber. | Per Cent. of Total Wives. | |
| Previous to 1700 1700-1749 1750-1799 1800-1849 1850-1869 1870-1879 | 5 33 98 440 428 202 | 1.81 4.11 4.98 7.96 13.98 18.60 | 11 56 118 657 625 265 | 4.00 6.98 6.00 11.88 20.41 24.40 | 49 176 531 2,088 1,275 434 | 17.76 21.95 17.01 37.75 41.64 39.96 | 139 344 796 1,613 481 93 | 50.36 42.89 40.50 29.17 15.71 8.57 | 67 179 386 507 72 4 | 24.27 22.32 19.63 9.17 2.36 0.37 | |

These statistics make it very clear that the wives of native Americans are not only remaining childless in increasingly large numbers, but that a rapidly increasing proportion are electing to bear not more than one child, two children, or three children. As a necessary corollary the number of ative American wives who elect to bear large families of from six to nine children, and ten or more children, are becoming extremely rare. This summer at a boarding-house where several families were stopping, only one American mother had as many as two children. The little girl of a one-child mother innocently inquired if the mother with two children was an American.

In the period previous to 1700 1.8 or less than 2 per cent. of the wives had only one child, while in 1870 to 1879, 18.6 per cent. of the wives had only one child. On the other hand, previous to 1700, 24.3 per cent. of the wives had families of ten or more children, but by 1870 to 1879 the corresponding per cent. was only 0.37.

As a result of these most significant changes in native American families, it necessarily follows that the average number of children per wife has decreased, as is clearly shown in Table I.

The age at marriage was noted of 2,252 brides and the average age of these by chronological periods was as follows:

| | | TABLE | IV. | |
|-----|----|--------|-----|-----------|
| AGE | OF | BRIDES | ΑТ | MARRIAGE. |

| Period. | Number of Brides. | Aggregate o the Ages. | Average Age at Marriage. | | |
|---|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Previous to 1700. 1700–1749. 1750–1799. 1800–1849. 1850–1869. 1870–1879. | 30 | 642 | 21.4 | | |
| | 147 | 3,196 | 21.7 | | |
| | 284 | 6,236 | 22.0 | | |
| | 969 | 21,617 | 22.3 | | |
| | 633 | 14,512 | 22.9 | | |
| | 189 | 4,374 | 23.1 | | |

These figures are significant of the change that has taken place in the average age at marriage. They indicate that the American bride of today is approximately two years older, on an average, than the American bride of the seventeenth century. The increase in the average age has apparently been quite gradual throughout the period under observation. A confirmation of the increasing average age of brides is found in the Massachusetts returns over a long period of years. The decline in the birth-rate of American wives cannot, however, be explained away by this average increase in the age at marriage. Granting that the period of fecundity is limited and that two years lost is an important item, it obviously is not sufficient to account for a decline in the average number of births per wife from 7.37 to 2.77.

The statistics here brought together present an awful indictment against the morals of the Americans of today. France has long been an example to the world of the Malthusian doctrines carried to an extreme, but the United States today presents a spectacle, in this respect, which it is doubtful if France can equal. In the United States, the native stock which should take some pride in its own perpetuation is rapidly dwindling to mere nothingness, while the triumphant immigrants are submerging it by sheer force of their greater virility as revealed in their much higher birth-rates.

If the genealogical records teach anything, it is this, that unless a radical change is effected very soon, the stock which founded this nation and which nurtured it through the grave perils and trials of the formative period, will soon have vanished

4

from the face of the earth. Unless a radical change is soon effected the historian of no far distant period will be compelled to say that the descendants of the colonizers of the United States preferred material luxuries to spiritual realities, lustful conceits to correct theories of life, and selfish gratifications of inordinate ambitions to unselfish acceptance of the duties of parenthood. It is a very real condition and not a mere theory that now confronts the native element of the population of this republic, and Mr. Roosevelt has sounded no false alarm in his vigorous preachments against race suicide.

TABLE A.

STATISTICS OF BIRTHS COMPILED FROM TWENTY-TWO GENEALOGICAL RECORDS OF AMERICAN FAMILIES.

| | Previous to 1700. | | 1700–1749. | | 1750–1799. | | 1800–1849. | | 1850–1869. | | 1870–1879. | |
|------------------------------------|--|---|---|--|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Number of Children per Wife. | Number of Wives. | Per Cent. of Total. | Number of Wives. | Per Cent. of Total. | Number of Wives. | Per Cent. of Total. | Number of Wives. | Per Cent. of Total. | Number of Wives. | Per Cent. of Total. | Number of Wives. | Per Cent. |
| None | 5 5 11 9 11 299 34 26 47 32 29 19 11 4 3 | 1.81 1.81 3.99 3.26 3.99 10.51 12.32 17.03 11.59 10.51 6.88 3.99 1.45 1.08 | 14 33 56 46 60 92 101 85 66 65 48 37 12 4 2 | 1.75 4.11 6.98 5.74 7.48 8.73 11.47 12.59 10.60 8.23 6.86 5.99 4.61 1.50 0.50 0.25 0.12 0.25 | 37 98 118 133 184 214 204 195 216 181 148 119 41 46 14 10 2 2 3 | 1.88 4.98 6.00 6.76 9.36 10.89 10.38 9.92 10.99 9.21 7.53 6.05 2.09 2.34 0.71 0.10 0.10 0.15 0.05 | 225 440 657 749 700 639 402 367 218 142 75 37 17 5 5 4 3 1 | 4.07 7.96 11.88 13.54 12.66 11.57 10.11 7.29 6.64 5.13 3.94 2.57 1.36 0.67 0.31 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.07 | 181 428 625 545 447 283 211 149 81 40 00 35 18 7 7 7 2 2 2 1 | 5.91 13.98 20.41 17.80 14.60 9.24 6.89 4.87 2.64 1.31 1.14 0.59 0.23 0.07 0.07 | 88 202 265 210 147 77 51 22 11 9 4 | 8.1 18.6 24.4 19.3 13.5 7.0 4.7 2.0 0.8 0.3 |
| Total | 276 | 100.00 | 802 | 100.00 | 1,966 | 100.00 | 5,530 | 100.00 | 3,062 | 100.00 | 1,086 | 100.0 |
| | | <u>' </u> | | | SUMM. | ARY. | | | | | | |

TABLE B.

NUMBER OF CHILDREN PER WIFE.

STATISTICS COMPILED FROM TWENTY-TWO GENEALOGICAL RECORDS OF AMERICAN FAMILIES.

| | Previous to 1700. | | 1700–1749. | | 1750–1799. | | 1800–1849. | | 1850–1869. | | 1870–1879. | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|---|--|-------------------------------------|
| Children per Wife. | Number of Wives. | Aggregate Number of Children. | Number of Wives. | Aggregate Number of Children. | Number of Wives. | Aggregate Number of Children. | Number of Wives. | Aggregate Number of Children. | Number of Wives. | Aggregate Number of Children. | Number of Wives. | Aggregate Number of Children. |
| None | 5 5 5 11 9 11 129 34 26 47 32 29 99 19 11 4 3 276 | 0 5 22 27 44 145 204 182 376 288 290 132 52 42 16 | 14 33 56 46 60 70 92 101 185 66 555 48 37 18 12 2 2 802 | 0 33 112 138 240 350 552 707 680 594 444 234 168 60 32 18 38 | 37 98 118 133 184 214 204 195 216 181 141 41 41 41 41 10 2 2 3 3 1 | 0 98 236 399 536 1,070 1,224 1,365 1,728 1,480 1,309 492 598 196 150 32 34 54 19 | 225 440 657 749 700 639 559 403 367 284 218 142 75 37 17 5 5 4 3 3 1 | 0 440 1,314 2,247 2,800 3,195 3,354 2,556 2,180 1,562 900 481 238 688 54 19 27,320 | 181 428 625 545 447 283 211 149 81 40 35 18 7 7 2 2 1 | 0 428 1,250 1,635 1,788 1,415 1,266 1,043 360 350 198 84 91 28 30 16 | 88 2022 265 210 147 77 51 22 22 111 9 4 | |
| Average num- ber of chil- dren per wife | 7.37 | | 7.37 6.83 | | 6.43 | | 4.94 | | 3.47 | | 2.77 | |